UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Margaret Clark	: Case No.	10001157
Plaintiff(s), vs. Jeremy Gotwals, et al. Defendant(s).	: District Judg Magistrate Judg RULE 26(f) (to be filed n	Black
1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)	, a meeting was held on _	4/4/2017
and was attended by: Matt Miller-Novak	counsel for plaintiff(s)	largaret Clark
	counsel for plaintiff(s)	
	counsel for plaintiff(s)_	
J. Thomas Hodges	counsel for defendant(s)	Jeremy Gotwals
I Thomas Hodges		Gotwals Media Group, LLC
J. Thomas Hodges	counsel for defendant(s)	Holon Publishers ,
	counsel for defendant(s)	,
		1

2.	The parties:				
	have provided the pre-discovery disclosures required by Fed	d. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1),			
	including a medical package (if applicable).				
<u> </u>	will exchange such disclosures by May 12, 2017				
	are exempt from disclosure under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(E	2).			
3.	The parties:				
	unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United States pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).	Magistrate Judge			
····	do not unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).	l States Magistrate			
	unanimously give contingent consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), for trial pursuant that the assigned District Judge is unavailable on the because of other trial settings, civil or criminal).	<u>irposes only</u> , in the			
4.	Recommended cut-off date for filing of motions directed to	the pleadings:			
	May 12, 2017				
5.	Recommended cut-off date for filing any motion to amend tadd additional parties: August 4, 2017	he pleadings and/or to			
6.	Recommended discovery plan:				
	a. Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be some extent and scope of discovery that each party needs to settlement evaluation, (ii) prepare for case dispositive (iii) prepare for trial: records of book sales and other relevant comments.	o: (i) make a e motions, and			

b.	What changes should be made, if any, in the limitations on discovery imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the local rules of this Court, including the limitations to 25 interrogatories/requests for admissions and the limitations of 10 depositions, each lasting no more than			
	one day consisting of seven (7) hours?			
c.	Additional recommended limitations on discovery: none			
d.	Recommended date for the disclosure of lay witness August 4, 2017	es:		
e.	Describe the areas in which expert testimony is experimentally whether each expert had been or will be specifically meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2). There could potentially be experts related to damages			
f.	Recommended date for disclosure and report of Plair August 4, 2017	ntiff(s) expert(s):		

g.	Recommended date for disclosure and report of Defendant(s) expert(s):
	September 4, 2017
h.	Recommended date for disclosure and report of rebuttal expert(s):
	September 4, 2017
i.	Discovery of Electronically Stored Information: The parties have discussed disclosure, discovery, and preservation of electronically stored information including the form or forms in which it should be produced.
	Yes
	No
sto	The parties have electronically stored information in the following formats: red information on web portals from book sales and emails
	ii. The case presents the following issues relating to disclosure, discovery or preservation of electronically stored information including the form or forms in which it should be produced:
j.	Claims of Privilege or Protection. The parties have discussed issues regarding the protection of information by privilege or the work-product doctrine, including whether the parties agree to a procedure to assert these claims after production or have any other agreements under Fed. R. Evid. 502. Yes No
	* ``

	no specia	i. I issues.	The case presents the following issues privilege or of protection as trial prepa	_
		ii. No	Have the parties agreed on a procedure AFTER production?	to assert such claims
	<u> </u>	Yes		
		Yes, a order.	nd the parties ask that the Court include	their agreement in an
7.]	Recommende	ed disco	overy cut-off date: November 4	, 2017
8.]	Recommende	ed dispo	ositive motion date: December	4, 2017
			for status conference (if any):	
_	Suggestions To be d		rpe and timing of efforts at Alternative l	Dispute Resolution:
_				
11.	Recommend	ed date	for a final pretrial conference: Janu	ary 2018
				onse? No
	Date by which	ch a set	tlement demand can be made: Upor	Discovery
	Date by which	ch a res	ponse can be made: Upon Disco	overy
13.	Other matter		ent to the scheduling or management of	
ПО	ne			
			<u> </u>	
			5	

Signatures:	
Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s):	Attorney(s) for Defendants(s):
Matt Miller Novak	J. Thomas Hodges
Ohio Bar # 0091402 Trial Attorney for Plaintiff	Ohio Bar # 0082511
Trial Attorney for Plaintiff	Trial Attorney for Defendants
Ohio Bar#	Ohio Bar #
Trial Attorney for	Trial Attorney for
Ohio Bar#	Ohio Bar#
Trial Attorney for	Trial Attorney for
Ohio Bar #	Ohio Bar#
Trial Attorney for	Trial Attorney for
Ohio Bar#	Ohio Bar #
Trial Attorney for	Trial Attorney for